Secular Field-Particle Energy Transfer in a Turbulent, Gyrokinetic System

Kristopher G. Klein 1,2 &

Gregory G. $Howes^3$

¹Space Science Center, University of New Hampshire

²Department of Atmospheric, Oceanic, and Space Sciences, University of Michigan ³Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Iowa







Fifth International Vlasovia Conference June 2^{nd} , 2016

Support provided by Grant NSF AGS-1331355

Correlations Measure Secular Transfer of Energy



Are Correlations Applicable for Fully Turbulence Systems?

- Turbulence is "Multiscale Disorder" (Schekochihin et al. 2008)
- Classify Damping Mechanisms as:
 - Coherent Wave-Particle
 - Incoherent Wave-Particle
 - Current Sheet Dissipation

(Alexandrova et al. 2009)



Are Correlations Applicable for Fully Turbulence Systems?

- Turbulence is "Multiscale Disorder" (Schekochihin et al. 2008)
- Classify Damping Mechanisms as:
 - Coherent Wave-Particle
 - Incoherent Wave-Particle
 - Current Sheet Dissipation
- Measurements of many real systems (solar wind turbulence) limited to single point observations

We consider wave-particle correlations from single-point 'observations' of gyrokinetic turbulence simulations



Gyrokinetics, a rigorous kinetic limit

Gyrokinetics is a low-frequency ($\omega \ll \Omega_p$), anisotropic $(k_{\parallel} \ll k_{\perp})$ limit of the full six-dimensional kinetic plasma description. (Frieman & Chen 1982, Howes et al. 2006) The particle's cyclotron motion is averaged out, reducing the velocity phase space from (v_x, v_y, v_x) to $(v_{\perp}, v_{\parallel})$.



The Astrophysical Gyrokinetics Code

AstroGK (Numata et al. 2010) is adapted from the code GS2

- Solves $h_s(\mathbf{R}_s, v_{\perp}, v_{\parallel}, t)$, $\phi(\mathbf{R}_s, t)$ $A_{\parallel}(\mathbf{R}_s, t)$, $\delta B_{\parallel}(\mathbf{R}_s, t)$ in an elongated triply periodic box with a uniform background magnetic field and no equilibrium gradients
- Can be driven via Langevin antennas (TenBarge et al. 2014) or initialized wave profiles (Nielson Thesis, 2012)



Linear and nonlinear simulations match expected damping and power spectra benchmarks, and has been used to examine a variety of turbulent phenomena (Howes et al. 2008, Howes 2011, TenBarge & Howes 2012, TenBarge et al. 2013, TenBarge & Howes 2013, Numata & Loureiro 2014)

Coherent Damping Mechanisms

Coherent damping occurs for particles satisfying the resonance $\omega-k_{\parallel}v_{\parallel}-n\Omega=0.$

The n = 0 resonance corresponds to two physical mechanisms, Landau Damping (Landau 1946) , and Transit Time Damping. (Barnes 1966)



Coherent Damping Mechanisms

Coherent damping occurs for particles satisfying the resonance

$$\omega - k_{\parallel} v_{\parallel} = 0.$$

The n = 0 resonance corresponds to two physical mechanisms, Landau Damping, and Transit Time Damping (Landau 1946, Barnes 1966)



Coherent Damping Mechanisms

Coherent damping occurs for particles satisfying the resonance

 $\omega-k_{\parallel}v_{\parallel}=0.$ The n=0 resonance corresponds to two physical mechanisms, Landau Damping, and Transit Time Damping (Stix 1992, Quataert 1998)

$$-q_s \frac{v_{\parallel}^2}{2} \frac{\partial \delta f_s}{\partial v_{\parallel}} E_{\parallel}; \ \frac{m_s v_{\perp}^2}{2|B|} \frac{v_{\parallel}^2}{2} \frac{\partial \delta f_s}{\partial v_{\parallel}} \frac{\partial \delta B_{\parallel}}{\partial z}$$



 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Collisionless Power Absorption} \\ \mbox{can be expressed in terms of} \\ \mbox{Anti-Hermitian Susceptibilities:} \\ \mbox{LD:} \propto \chi^a_{zz,s} |E_{\parallel}|^2 \\ \mbox{TTD:} \propto \chi^a_{yy,s} |E_y|^2 \end{array}$

Preliminary Simulation Parameters



We perform three initial simulations, with the following parameters:

• $k_\perp \rho_p \in [0.3, 3.3]$

•
$$\beta_p = 0.3, 1.0, 5.0$$

•
$$T_e = T_i$$

•
$$\nu_s \approx 0.1 \gamma_s(k_{\perp,0})$$

(Klein et al. 2016, in prep for submission to JPP)

At a discrete number of points in the simulation domain \mathbf{x}_i , we output at a fixed cadence $g_s(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{v}, t)$, $E_{\parallel}(\mathbf{x}_i, t)$, & $\delta B_{\parallel}(\mathbf{x}_i, t)$.

We transform to the complementary perturbed distribution: (Schekochihin et al 2009 ApJS, $\S5.1$)

$$g_s(\mathbf{R}_s, v_\perp, v_\parallel) = h_s(\mathbf{R}_s, v_\perp, v_\parallel) - \frac{q_s F_{0s}}{T_{0s}} \left\langle \phi - \frac{\mathbf{v}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{A}_\perp}{c} \right\rangle_{\mathbf{R}_s}$$

 g_s describes perturbations to the Maxwellian velocity distribution in the frame moving with an Alfvén wave.

These perturbations are associated the compressive component of the turbulence and therefore the two collisionless damping mechanisms under consideration.

The Gyrotropic Perturbed Distribution is Messy



Reduction via Integration yields ...



Instantaneous Energy Transfer: $-q_p v_{\parallel}^2 \partial_{v_{\parallel}} \overline{g_p/2E_{\parallel}}$



Choosing a Correlation Length

For discrete timeseries $E_{\parallel,j} \equiv E_{\parallel}(x_0,t_j)$ and $g_{sj}(v_{\parallel}) \equiv g_s(x_0,v_{\parallel},t_j)$, we define the correlation over time $\tau = N\Delta t$ as

$$C_1(v_{\parallel}, t_i, \tau) \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=i}^{i+N} -q_s \frac{v_{\parallel}^2}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{\parallel}} \left[\int dv_{\perp} v_{\perp} g_{sj}(v_{\parallel}) \right] E_{\parallel,j}$$



Lengthening τ removes the oscillatory contribution, leaving the secular transfer of energy.

Resonant Structure in Correlation



Turbulence is Intermittent...



The current density $\int d\mathbf{v} v_{\parallel} g_s(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}, t)$ is not homogeneous throughout the simulation.

It has been shown that regions of larger current are associated with stronger dissipation (Sundkvist et al. 2007, Greco et al. 2009, Osman et al. 2012, TenBarge & Howes 2013, Wan et al. 2016...)

...But the Damping Appears to be Resonant



The Strength of Landau Damping Varies with β



- C₁ peaks near the appropriate resonant velocities.
- Landau Damping weakens for larger β_p .
- We next consider correlations for Transit Time Damping.

Considering Transit Time Damping



Distinguishing between LD and TTD

As the velocity derivative $\partial_{v_{\parallel}}g_s$ may be difficult to construct accurately for spacecraft data, we consider the related correlation:

$$C_3(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}, t_i, \tau) \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=i}^{i+N} -q_s v_{\parallel} g_{sj}(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}) E_{\parallel,j}$$

$$C_4(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}, t_i, \tau) \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=i}^{i+N} m_s v_{\parallel} v_{\perp}^2 g_{sj}(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}) \delta B_{\parallel,j}$$



Looking Forward

A general technique for distinguishing damping mechanisms





Extra Slides

Equating Spatial and Temporal Averaging

In a low-frequency system with super-Alfvénic flow, an observer will sweep through a region more rapidly than the structure evolves (Taylor 1938, Fredricks & Coroniti 1976)



Single-point spacecraft observations will more closely resemble measurements of a frozen system along $\mathbf{x}(t) = -\mathbf{V}_{SW}t$.

Gyrokinetic Vlasov-Maxwell Equations

Linear, collisionless Vlasov equation:

$$\frac{\partial g_s}{\partial t} + v_{\parallel} \frac{\partial g_s}{\partial Z} + \frac{q_s F_{0s}}{T_{0s}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left\langle \frac{v_{\parallel} A_{\parallel}}{c} \right\rangle_{\mathbf{R}_s} = v_{\parallel} \frac{q_s F_{0s}}{T_{0s}} \frac{\partial}{\partial Z} \left\langle \frac{\mathbf{v}_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\perp}}{c} - \phi \right\rangle_{\mathbf{R}_s}$$

Quasi-Neutrality condition:

$$\sum_{s} \left[-\frac{q_s^2 n_{0s}}{T_{0s}} \phi + q_s \int d^3 \mathbf{v} \left(\langle g_s \rangle_{\mathbf{r}} + \frac{q_s F_{0s}}{T_{0s}} \left\langle \left\langle \phi - \frac{\mathbf{v}_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\perp}}{c} \right\rangle_{\mathbf{R}_s} \right\rangle_{\mathbf{r}} \right) \right] = 0$$

Parallel Ampere's law:

$$-\nabla_{\perp}^{2}A_{\parallel} = \frac{4\pi}{c}\sum_{s}q_{s}\int d^{3}\mathbf{v}v_{\parallel}\left\langle g_{s}\right\rangle_{\mathbf{r}}$$

Perpendicular Ampere's law:

$$\nabla_{\perp} \delta B_{\parallel} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \sum_{s} q_{s} \int d^{3} \mathbf{v} \left\langle \hat{\mathbf{z}} \times \mathbf{v}_{\perp} \left(g_{s} + \frac{q_{s} F_{0s}}{T_{0s}} \left\langle \phi - \frac{\mathbf{v}_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\perp}}{c} \right\rangle_{\mathbf{R}_{s}} \right) \right\rangle_{\mathbf{r}}$$