Nonlinear hydrid simulations of precessional Fishbone instability

$\label{eq:main_state} \underbrace{ \frac{\mathsf{M. Faganello}^1}{\mathsf{M. Idouakass}^1, \,\mathsf{H. L. Berk}^2, \,\mathsf{X. Garbet}^3, \,\mathsf{S.}}_{\mathsf{Benkadda}^1}$

Aix-Marseille University, CNRS, PIIM UMR 7345, Marseille, France
 Institute for Fusion Studies, University of Texas, USA
 ³ CEA, IRFM, 13115, St Paul lès Durance, France



Outline

Introduction

The Precessional Fishbone instability Tokamak geometry and particle motion

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

A reduced Fishbone model

Linear theory Analytic results

Numerical results

Numerical code Linear benchmark Nonlinear results

Conclusions

Context: the Fishbone instability

- First observation on the PDX tokamak, with near perpendicular neutral beam injection active
- Bursts of electromagnetic instability , associated with losses of fast particles
- Mode frequency consistent with trapped fast ion precession frequency
- Dominant m = n = 1, kink-shaped, mode structure
- Observation of frequency chirping



Figure : [McGuire et al., PRL, 1983]

・ロッ ・雪 ・ ・ ヨ ・

Trapped particle trajectories (passing are confined too!)



- $\omega_c \gg \omega_{bounce} > \omega_{precession} \longrightarrow$ possible decoupling of the three dynamics: Full kinetic, Gyrokinetic or Bounce-averaged descriptions
- ► For elegant derivation, using Lie algebra, see [Littlejohn, PS, 1982] $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{X}_{gc}, v_{\parallel}, (J_c, \zeta_c)) \xrightarrow{\omega \ll \omega_c} (\mathbf{X}_{gc}, v_{\parallel}; J_c)$ $(\mathbf{X}_{gc}, v_{\parallel}; J_c) \rightarrow (\alpha, \beta, (J_{\parallel}, \zeta_{\parallel}); J_c) \xrightarrow{\omega \ll \omega_b} (\alpha, \beta; J_{\parallel}, J_c) \rightarrow ((J_{\rho}, \zeta_{\rho}); J_{\parallel}, J_c))$

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

The small "inverse aspect ratio" Tokamak



- ► $\epsilon = a/R_0 \ll 1 \longrightarrow \mathbf{B} = B_T \hat{\varphi} + \nabla \psi \times \nabla \varphi$ $B_T = B_0 \frac{R_0}{R} \simeq B_0 \left(1 - \frac{r}{R_0} \cos \theta\right) \& \psi = RA_{\varphi} \simeq R_0 A_{\varphi}(r, \theta, \varphi, t)$ with $\psi_{eq} = \psi_{eq}(r)$ (concentric circular cross sections at equilibrium)
- Safety factor: $q(r) = \frac{rB_{\theta,eq}}{R_0B_T}$ where $B_{\theta,eq} = -R_0^{-1}\partial_r\psi_{eq} = -R_0^{-1}\psi'_{eq}$ i.e. magnetic winding number

• Note: $B_{\theta} \ll B_T$ & q = O(1)

Equilibrium trajectories: bounce motion

▶ Let's take $(\partial_r)^{-1} \gg \rho_c \longrightarrow J_c \simeq \mu = \frac{1}{2} m v_{gyro}^2 / B_T \simeq cst$





► Circular cross section \longrightarrow A classical pendulum :-)) $v_{\parallel}^2 = (r^2 + R_0^2 q^2(r))\dot{\theta}^2 \simeq R_0^2 q^2(r)\dot{\theta}^2 \& B_T = B_0 \left(1 - \frac{r}{R_0}\cos\theta\right)$

$$\frac{\mathcal{E}}{mR_0^2q^2} = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\theta}^2 - \underbrace{\frac{\mu B_0 r}{mR_0^3 q^2}}_{= g/l}\cos\theta + \underbrace{\frac{\mu B_0}{mR_0^2 q^2}}_{= cst}$$

► Trapping parameter: k² = e+1/2, e = E-µB₀/µB₀ R₀/r (k² ∈ [0, 1] for trapped particles)

$$J_{\parallel} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint mv_{\parallel} ds_{\parallel} = \frac{8}{\pi} R_0^{-1/2} q \sqrt{m\mu B_0} \left[(k^2 - 1) K(k) + E(k) \right]$$

Bounce period: $T_b = 2\pi \omega_b^{-1} = 2\pi \partial J_{\parallel} / \partial \mathcal{E} = 4R_0 q \sqrt{\frac{mR_0}{\mu B_0}} K(k)$

Banana width & precessional motion

► $P_{\varphi} = mRv_{\varphi} + \frac{e}{c}\psi$ is an exact invariant (φ is cyclic at the equilibrium) $v_{\varphi} \simeq v_{\parallel}$ changes along the trajectory $\longrightarrow \psi$ (i.e. r) must change too

$$P_{\varphi} = mR_0 v_{\parallel}|_{\theta=0} + \frac{e}{c}\psi_{eq}(r) + \frac{e}{c}\psi'_{eq}\delta r|_{\theta=0} = P_{\varphi}|_{turning \ point} = \frac{e}{c}\psi_{eq}(r)$$

- \longrightarrow Banana orbit width: $\delta r|_{\theta=0} = \frac{qR_0}{\omega_c r} v_{\parallel}|_{\theta=0}$
 - Two possible reasons for toroidal precession:
 1) Magnetic shear: forward/backward motions are not along the same line

$$\Delta \varphi = \oint d\varphi = \underbrace{\oint q d\theta}_{=0} + \oint q' \delta r d\theta \simeq q'(r) \delta r|_{\theta=0} \rightarrow \omega_{D,1} \simeq \frac{q'(r)}{m \omega_c r} J_{\parallel} \omega_b$$

2) The magnitude of v_{\parallel} is not the same during forward/backward motion

$$\delta \mathcal{E}_{k,\parallel}|_{\theta=0} = \mu B_0 \delta r|_{\theta=0} \longrightarrow \omega_{D,2} \simeq \frac{\delta v_{\parallel}|_{\theta=0}}{R_0} = \frac{\mu B_0 q}{m \omega_c R_0 r}$$

▶ It is possible to obtain ω_D rigorously ($\omega_D = \omega_{D,1} + \omega_{D,2}$) using

$$\frac{\omega_D}{\omega_b} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial J_p} \frac{\partial J_{\parallel}}{\partial \mathcal{E}} = \frac{\partial J_{\parallel}}{\partial J_p}; \ J_p = \oint P_{\varphi} d\varphi = P_{\varphi} = \frac{e}{c} \psi_{eq}(r) \rightarrow \omega_D = \frac{c}{e} \frac{1}{\psi'_{eq}} \frac{\partial J_{\parallel}}{\partial r}$$

Deeply trapped particles

• Let's take $v_{\parallel} \rightarrow 0$, thus:

- $J_{\parallel}=0$ and stays zero ($\omega\ll\omega_b$), as well as v_{\parallel}
- μ and $J_{\parallel} = 0$ are parameters
- (φ, P_{φ}) are the natural canonical variables: 2D phase space :-)

$$\blacktriangleright P_{\varphi} \simeq \frac{e}{c} \psi, \text{ i.e. } P_{\varphi} \leftrightarrow r \& \partial_{P_{\varphi}} \leftrightarrow \partial_{r}$$

► The Hamiltonian $H = \frac{1}{2m} \left(\mathbf{P}_{\parallel} - \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A}_{\parallel} \right)^2 + \mu B_T + e\phi$ reduces to

$$H(\varphi, P_{\varphi}) = \underbrace{\mu B_{T}(P_{\varphi})}_{equilibrium} + \underbrace{e\phi(\varphi, P_{\varphi}, t)}_{mode}$$

 $\Rightarrow~$ The coupling is done only via the electric potential ϕ

$$\dot{\varphi} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial P_{\varphi}} = \frac{\partial \mu B_{T}}{\partial P_{\varphi}} + \frac{\partial e\phi}{\partial P_{\varphi}} = \underbrace{\mu \frac{\partial r}{\partial P_{\varphi}} \frac{\partial B_{T}(r)}{\partial r}}_{\omega_{D} = \frac{\mu B_{0} q}{m \omega_{c} R_{0} r}} + e \frac{\partial r}{\partial P_{\varphi}} \frac{\partial \phi(r, \varphi, t)}{\partial r}$$

$$\dot{P}_{\varphi} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \varphi} = e \frac{\partial \phi(r, \varphi, t)}{\partial \varphi}$$

■ のへで

A reduced Fishbone model: Fast particle response

► Take into account only deeply trapped particles (v_{||} = 0) with a single value for the magnetic moment, µ = µ_{*}

 \Rightarrow Reduction of the phase space from 6D to 2D (φ , P_{φ})

- All fast particles are contained well inside the q = 1 surface ("core region")
- ▶ The dominant mode has a kink-like shape (mode numbers m = n = 1, electric potential $\phi/r \simeq cst$ well inside of the q = 1 surface)

$$rac{\partial f}{\partial t} + [H, f] = 0$$
 ; $H(\varphi, P_{\varphi}) = \mu_* B_T(P_{\varphi}) + e\phi(\varphi, P_{\varphi})$

$$\frac{\partial \mu_* B_T}{\partial P_\alpha} = \omega_D(P_\alpha) = \frac{\mu B_T q(P_\varphi)}{\omega_C m R r(P_\varphi)} \quad ; \quad \phi = r(P_\varphi) \frac{\phi_0(t)}{r_0} e^{i\varphi}$$

Note: particles interact with a single mode. All the other ones vanish far quicker in the core region where particles are.

A reduced Fishbone model: Bulk plasma response

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + \{\phi, \psi\} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\frac{\partial \Delta \phi}{\partial t} + \{\phi, \Delta \phi\} - \{\psi, \Delta \psi\} = \widetilde{\rho} = \alpha_{\textit{normalization}} \left[(\widehat{\varphi} \times \kappa) \cdot \nabla P_{\perp,h} \right]$$

- Toroidal effects are retained only for the contribution given by fast particles (κ is the toroidal curvature and P_{⊥,h} the fast particle pressure)
 → Cylindrical geometry
- As before we set $\phi = r \frac{\phi_0(t)}{r_0} e^{i\varphi i\theta}$ well inside the q = 1 surface
- On the contrary strong variations are allowed across the q = 1 surface and finally $\phi \to 0$ for $r \to a$

 One mode evolution: only Fast particle (kinetic) nonlinearities are retained, MHD nonlinearities are neglected

Linear theory: Analytic results I

► Let's take $f = F_{eq} + \delta f$, with $\delta f \ll F_{eq}$, and $\partial_t \rightarrow -i\omega$. The mode equation reads

$$-\omega^{2}\left(\frac{\phi}{r}\right)' + \frac{v_{A,T}^{2}}{R_{0}^{2}}\left(1 - \frac{1}{q(r)}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{\phi}{r}\right)' = -i\omega\frac{1}{r^{3}}\int_{0}^{r}d\bar{r}\bar{r}^{2}\tilde{\rho}(\delta f)$$

where
$$\delta f = rac{er rac{\phi_0}{r_0} rac{dr \cdot e_q}{dr} rac{dr}{dP_{\varphi}}}{\omega_D(r) - \omega}$$

- Note as a spatial gradient corresponds to the usual velocity gradient. Here a "decreasing density" is equivalent to a bump on the tail.
- Finally a general dispersion relation is obtained :

$$i = K \int_0^1 \frac{y^2 q(y)^2 \left(-\frac{dF_{eq}}{dy}\right)}{q(y) - \frac{y\omega}{\omega_D(y=1)}} dy$$
(1)

where K takes into account the energetic content for the fast particles, the MHD and geometric parameters and $y = r/r_*$ (r_* being so that $q(r_*) = 1$).

Linear theory: analytic results II

► Let's take: $F_{eq} = \frac{n_0}{2} \delta(\mu - \mu_*) \delta v_{\parallel} (1 - \operatorname{erf}(\beta(y - y_0)))$ \rightarrow analytic values the threshold condition and mode frequency at the threshold

$${\cal K}_0 = rac{-1}{\sqrt{\pi}eta y_0^3} \; ; \quad \omega_0 = \omega_D(y_0) \left(1 - rac{1}{eta^2 y_0^2}
ight)$$

where y_0 is the position of the highest radial gradient in the distribution function

Close to the threshold

$$K = K_0 + \delta K$$
; $\omega = \omega_0 + \delta \omega + i\gamma$

we obtain a growth rate and a correction for the real frequency:

$$\gamma \simeq \frac{\delta K}{K_0} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2\beta y_0} \omega_0 ; \quad \delta \omega \simeq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\beta y_0} \gamma$$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆三 > ◆三 > ・三 ● のへで

Nonlinear numerical code

Based on the same domain decomposition:

- 1) The core region
 - ► Nonlinear kinetic description: Semi-lagrangian code assuming $\phi = r \left(\frac{\phi}{r}\right)\Big|_{bound}$
 - Linearized MHD response, including the fast particle pressure:

i.e. an evolution equation for $\partial_r \left(\frac{\phi}{r}\right)\Big|_{bound}$ plus the frozen-in equation for $\psi\Big|_{bound}$

2) Thin annular region around q = 1 surface

- No fast particle here
- Cylindrical \rightarrow slab description
- Semi-spectral MHD code (only one mode at present time)
- Uses $\partial_r \left(\frac{\phi}{r}\right)\Big|_{bound}$ & $\psi|_{bound}$ as B.C.
- Provides ψ & φ, in particular φ|_{bound} (the Hamiltonian)



Linear benchmark

With numerical simulations, the linear results are recovered

- Good agreement with frequency value
- Good agreement with growth rate and mode shape



Figure : Growth rate as a function of K/K_0 . Stars are the numerical values, the green line is the analytic prediction.



Figure : ϕ profile in the annular layer. In blue the real part, in red the imaginary part.

Nonlinear results: Mode saturation level

- ▶ The first local maximum of the amplitude is proportional to γ^2 i.e when the phase-space island width $\propto \sqrt{\phi}$ reaches the resonance width $\propto \gamma$ [Zonca et al., NJP, 2015]
- Amplitude oscillations are far larger compared to the usual "Bump on Tail" case, with [Berk et al., PLA, 1997] or without dissipation [O'Neil, PF, 1965]



Figure : Evolution of the kinetic energy of the mode versus time, in logscale

Frequency chirping and particle ejection

Chirping is observed during the saturated phase (case studied here: $K/K_0 = 1.2$, giving $\gamma/\omega = 2.8\%$)

- Phase space structure motion matches frequency change
- ► Asymmetric system → Higher amplitude for the down chirping mode, i.e. particle ejection
- Outgoing particles continue to interact with the mode but actually are not trapped into the mode well



Figure : On the left : Evolution of the distribution function averaged over angle. On the right : spectrogram of the mode.

Structures in phase-space

- Dynamics close to the first maximum and minimum of the mode amplitude
- Only partial folding of f inside the phase-space island
- Strong stretching in $\varphi \& P_{\varphi}$ directions



Figure : Distribution function at different stages of the saturation.

Island contraction and slippage



Contraction and slippage: The Fishbone peculiarity

The dispersion relation of the Fishbone is really different from the usual Bump on Tail one:

- BoT: marginally stable plasma wave + particle driver leading to instability i.e. ℜD(ω, k) ≃ 0 & a small ℜD gives the instability Thus when the energetic driver drops, the mode response is not dramatic.
- Fishbone exists only because fast particles are there, indeed $\omega_R = \omega_{D,h}$ It is a genuine "energetic particle mode" [Zonca et al., NJP, 2015]
- The mode response to the energetic driver variation can be strong:

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \left(\frac{\phi}{r}\right)' + \omega_A^2(r) \left(\frac{\phi}{r}\right)' = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{1}{r^3} \int_0^r d\bar{r} \bar{r}^2 \tilde{\rho}(f) = RHS$$

In the core $\omega_{A,bound}^2 \gg \partial_{tt} \simeq \omega_R^2$ thus the mode is slave, compared to the particle driver:

$$\left(\frac{\phi}{r}\right)'\Big|_{bound} \simeq \frac{RHS}{\omega_A^2|_{bound}} \text{ giving } \phi|_{bound} = \phi_0 \propto RHS$$

Amplitude and phase simply follow: the mode is slave to the energetic driver.

Conclusions

- The Precessional Fishbone instability can be described by an hybrid Fluid-Hamiltonian model where the phase-space coordinates are quite unusual.
- This reduced model is able to catch the qualitative dynamics of the mode: the frequency downchirping of the mode and the gradual ejection of fast particles.
- It has the great advantage, over more complete models, of permitting an easier analysis of the structure dynamics in phase-space.

Future perspectives:

- 1) Allowing MHD nonlinearities to develops around the q = 1 surface.
- 2) Looking at a more general approach for "energetic particle modes".